Organobismetallic zinc reagents: Their preparation and use in diastereoselective reactions

Jean-F. Normant, Ilane Marek and Jean-Michel Lefrançois

Laboratoire de Chimie des Organoéléments, associé au CNRS, Université P. et M. Curie Tour 44-45. 4 Place Jussieu F 75252 Paris 05 France

Abstract. The allylzincation of various vinyl metals can be performed at low temperatures. If the vinylic partner bears a chiral center, the addition occurs diastereoselectively, and allows the creation of two or three adjacent centers in a syn or anti relationship, according to the geometry (E or Z) of the vinyl metallic reagent.

INTRODUCTION

The addition of an allyl metal to a vinyl metal, in order to form a gembismetallic reagent, is a very intriguing reaction. For the first time, M. Gaudemar¹ added allyl zinc bromide to a variety of vinyl Grignards, and a heavy water quench delivered 1,1-dideuteroalk-4-enes in moderate to fair yields.

More recently, we undertook a systematic study of this reaction and showed that excellent yields could be obtained². Also, a vinyl-lithium, -alane², or -copper reagent³ can be used instead of the magnesium reagent, and/or an allylic Grignard instead of the zinc derivative, provided one Zn (II) equivalent is present in the reaction mixture. Therefore, we postulated that the first step of this reaction is the formation of a mixed zinc species as shown in scheme 1.

Scheme 1

$$R \longrightarrow MgBr + ZnBr_2 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow Zn \longrightarrow + mBr + MgBr$$

$$(m = Li, Al, Cu, Mg)$$

$$R \longrightarrow MgBr \longrightarrow no reaction \longrightarrow reaction$$

The fact that allyl zinc bromide does not react with a vinyl zinc bromide in THF is considered to result from a totally shifted Schlenck equilibrium in this solvent:

$$R \longrightarrow Z_n B_r + Z_n B_r \longrightarrow Z_n B_r + Z_n B_r Z_n + Z_n B_r Z_n$$

The exact mechanism whereby 1 adds to itself is still under study, and the presence of main group metal salts is not necessary for the reaction to proceed. Whatsoever, 1 leads to a gem bismetallic species if two moles are implied, either in a polymolecular process, or in a bimolecular way (scheme 2).

$$Z_n$$
 Z_n Z_n

We also considered a bishomomolecular process involving an internal rearrangement akin to the Claisen rearrangement where zinc would play the role of oxygen (scheme 3),

leading to a dizincacyclobutane, although we have not been able, so far, to get an X Ray pattern of this bismetallic species. Dilution experiments showed no change in speed, as if a cluster (dimer?) would be involved from the start.

Pure bisallylzinc⁴, in the presence of a salt-free vinyl lithium also gives the reaction, probably via a zincate complex³:

More recently, we found that a weakly basic ethereal solvant (diethyl ether) is much more efficient than THF, and speeds up the reaction which can be carried out at -50°C (instead of 0-60°C in THF)⁵.

DIASTEREOSELECTION IN ALLYLZINCATION

If we consider a chair like transition state in the hypothesis of scheme 3, then an E or Z vinyl metal should react with a substituted allylic reagent to give a predictable diastereoisomer:

Indeed, this selection was observed, even in THF^{2e}, but it is improved significantly when the reaction is performed at a low temperature in ether⁵. However, a good diastereoselection implies that the allylic moiety reacts also exclusively in a E or Z configuration, although the metallatropic rearrangement occurs:

$$ZnR'$$
 R ZnR'

With alkali metals, the more ionic the bond, the more Z isomer⁶. For crotyl magnesium bromide, the Z/E ratio has been established as 60/40 in ether⁷, and for substituted allylic zinc derivatives⁸, the primary Z isomer is also predominant. This leads to the conclusion that a high diastereoselection in our reaction would obtained in 87% yield diastereoselectively from crotyl zinc bromide and (Z) 1-hepten-1-yllithium, whereas 6 syn is obtained in 81% yield from the Z vinyl lithium analog (scheme 5)⁵.

Scheme 5

Pent

Li

1) Crotyl MgBr

2) ZnBr₂

Pent

$$y. = 87\%$$

d.r. = 92/8

Pent

Same treatment

Li

Same treatment

Me

Pent

 $y. = 81\%$

d.r. = 95/5

A

Same treatment

Me

Pent

Same treatment

Me

Pent

Pent

Pent

Pent

Same treatment

Me

Pent

Pent

Pent

Pent

Same treatment

Me

Pent

In the same way, a *cis* lithiated allyl ether 7Z undergoes stereoselectively the addition to give 8 *anti*, although chelation by oxygen is not a favourable interaction (as shown above). The corresponding trans lithiated ether 7E leads to 8 *syn* in good yields (scheme 6).

The configuration of 8 anti is established⁵ by comparison with the analogous product derived from a known⁹ Ireland-Claisen rearrangement (slightly less selective: d.r. 87/13) according to scheme 7.

Introduction of an allylic methoxy group, instead of a methyl, is possible if one starts from allylmethylether, metallated according to Evans 10 , and reacted with 7Z or $7E^5$:

Scheme 8

OtBu

Li

MeO
$$\rightarrow$$
 ZnBr

OtBu

y. = 77%

d.r. = 95/5

Me

OtBu

y. = 81%

OMe

OMe

9 syn

OMe

9 syn

OMe

OMe

9 syn

OMe

9 syn

In each case the syn or anti 1,3-glycol diether is obtained diastereoselectively. 9 anti was converted to the corresponding acid, already prepared by an Ireland-Claisen rearrangement from (E) 3-propionyloxy-1-methoxy-propen^{9,11} in 61% yield with a d.r. of 87/13 (scheme 9).

Chelation between zinc and heteroatoms in heterosubstituted bisalkylzinc reagents has been studied. Particularly, van der Kerk et al^{12} have shown that derivatives of type $Zn[(CH_2)_3 - Z]_2$ 10: $Z = NMe_2$, 11: Z = OMe, 12: Z = SMe are monomeric in benzene and show a down-field shift in ^{13}C NMR for the CH₂-Z carbon, as an indication of chelation to zinc, which decreases in the sequence 10 > 11 > 12. This fact was confirmed by their ability to form complexes with bipyridine which follows the order 10 < 11 < 12. Thus 11 should be considered as a spiro derivative.

The presence of a C = C linkage, in such a derivative should not impede this chelation, and we were led to study the case of allylic secondary ethers 13, Z-metallated on the terminal C sp₂ carbon. Allylzincation should now occur on the less hindered face of the vinyl moiety (opposite to R: scheme 10). Thus, (Z) 1-iodo-3-terbutoxy hex-1-ene was lithiated and treated by allyl magnesium bromide followed by zinc bromide. Hydrolysis of the bismetallic product led to 14 (syn) in 81% yield with a syn/anti d.r. of $95/5^{13}$ (scheme 10)

yield: 81% d.r. = 95/5

According to this scheme, if the free alcohol (as an alcoholate) is used instead of its terbutyl ether, the reaction takes place with a similar diastereoselection (92/8) but requires 12 h at -20°C.

The terbutyl ethers of alcohols 16 (R = H, scheme 11) are rapidly prepared from the corresponding propargylic terbutylethers by hydroalumination followed by iodinolysis 14.

Alternatively the starting alcohols 16 were prepared from (Z) β -iodomethyl acrylate (itself prepared according to Lu¹⁵) and submitted, in a one-pot reaction, to one equivalent of DIBAL followed by one equivalent of Grignard reagent¹⁶ (scheme 11).

The hydromagnesiation of propargylic alcohols, developped by Sato¹⁷ can be used directly¹³ (scheme 12).

Scheme 12

Me₃Si

Pent 1) 2 eq. iBuMgBr

OH

$$-Zn$$

$$-Zn$$

$$-Zn$$

$$-Zn$$
SiMe₃

Pent H₃O⁺
Pent

OH

$$y. = 78\%, d.r. = 95/5$$

The stereochemistry of the silylated alcohol thus obtained, was ascertained by an allyl magnesiation, according to Kocienski¹⁸, of (E)-1(trimethylsilyl)-but-1-en-3-ol (scheme 13) leading to the same alcohol. (scheme 13)

Scheme 13

Me₃Si

Pent

Pent

1) 2 Allyl MgBr
ether/toluene (1/9)
$$50^{\circ}$$
C,

Pent

OH

y. = 89%, d.r. = 96/4

If now one combines this latter facial selectivity with the selectivity arising from the use of crotyl or substituted allylzinc reagents (discussed above), one should be able to create two new adjacent chiral centers, out of the starting one.

(Z) 1-lithiated 3-terbutoxy-1-hex-1-ene reacts with crotyl zinc bromide to afford a single isomer 18^{19} . It is worth of note that the stereoselectivity observed here is higher than the one observed in Ireland-Claisen rearrangements of chiral β -hydroxyesters of crotyl alcohols (Z or E) studied by Kurth²⁰ where the relative α, β -stereorelationship is excellent, whereas the α, β ' d.r. is only fair (see scheme 15).

The stereochemistry of 14 and 18 was established after cyclisation into heterocycles (tetrahydrofurans) by iodoalkoxylation according to a known procedure²¹. In fact a new chiral center is thus formed but Bartlett showed that if a *secondary* γ -ethylenic ether is used, the cyclisation is stereoselective, the more so when a bulky ether is used (scheme 16).

Scheme 16

Me

$$I_2/MeCN$$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_2/MeCN$
 $I_3/MeCN$
 I

The reason for this²¹ is an important steric interaction in the transition state, between R' and Me (A) or R' and the developping CH_2I moiety (B), if the latter is trans to the Me group. Whereas R' has no such interaction in (C) when it is opposite to both Me and CH_2I .

$$(A) \qquad (B) \qquad (C) \qquad (C)$$

We reasonned that a t-butoxy group should be even better for such a purpose than an o,o'-dichlorobenzyloxy group and also more easily prepared from the corresponding alcohol (via isobutene + amberlyst²²).

Compound 20 was effectively formed in a 28/1 ratio of cis/trans isomers starting from 19 (R' = tBu)²³.

It should be noted that if the ether is *primary*, no selection occurs, even if two substituents are located in position 3,4 on the same face of the tetrahydrofuran:

Me OMe
$$I_2$$
 I_2 I_3 I_4 I_5 I_6 I_6 I_7 I_8 I_8

Compounds 14 and 18 were submitted to the Bartlett reaction and gave respectively a substituted tetrahydrofuran in 94% and 92% yield, as single isomers (scheme 17).

NOE experiments on 21 were thwarted by the fact that, although H_2 and H_5 gave separated signals, H_3 and H_4 showed analogous shifts. However, taking advantage of the bismetallic nature of intermediate 17, we could submit it to a carbonyl olefination of benzaldehyde leading to 22. In the cyclisation product 23 derived thereof, H_3 is now allylic and NOE experiments clearly show that all substituents of the tetrahydrofuran are located on the same face 19 (scheme 18).

Coming back to the crotyl zincation of scheme 14, we observed that such addition is, in fact, reversible³ (scheme 19).

Scheme 19

OtBu

$$H_3O^+$$
 Me
 M

If the mixture is left at room temperature overnight, or heated for a few hours, 17 is converted to 24 and hydrolysis leads to a mixture of 18 (syn/anti = 50/50) and 25. This is of no concern for the reaction depicted in scheme 14, carried out at low temperature, but when it is conducted at 0°C, the d.r. of 18 drops to 80/20, and this may be a drawback when heterosubstituted allylzinc reagents are used, since they behave more sluggishly.

For example, lithiated allyltrimethylsilane reacted only at 25°C with 26 and afforded 27 syn and anti in 78% yield with, a d.r. of 73/27. 27 syn and anti are readily separated by chromatography on silica gel.

The worst case is provided with metallated allylsulfides which react poorly with the lithiated secondary ether, 26 (yield = 15%, d.r. = 70/30), but better with the primary analog 7Z (scheme 21, compare to scheme 8).

Both regioisomers are formed at $+10^{\circ}$ C whereas 28 is the only product formed at $+15^{\circ}$ C, and at $+25^{\circ}$ C for 24 h, the bismetallic precursor of 28 cyclises to a cyclopropane^{2c,24} in 95% yield. Lithiated allyl methyl ether discussed in scheme 8 behaved much more diastereoselectively towards 7 Z (although lithiated allyl terbutyl ether gave no diastereoselection) but it was not reactive with 26 either. In order to delineate the role of steric hindrance in these cases of failure, we considered the secondary homoallylic ethers: if chelation is still valid, the stereocenter would be removed β to the site of attack (Csp₂), with less steric hindrance, but could still promote facial selection (scheme 22).

Indeed, not only 30 reacted highly diastereoselectively with crotyl zinc bromide, but also with a lithiated allyl ether (scheme 22) although less stereoselectively²⁴.

Scheme 22 Pr
$$\beta$$
 OtBu $\frac{1)-20^{\circ}C}{2) H_{3}O^{+}}$ $\frac{1}{2) H_{3}O^{+}}$ $\frac{31}{2) H_{3}O^{+}}$ $\frac{1)+20^{\circ}, 48h}{2) H_{3}O^{+}}$ $\frac{1)+20^{\circ}, 48h}{2) H_{3}O^{+}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2$

In the former case, it is remarkable that the face discrimination leading to 31 is as good as it was with a secondary allylic ether (scheme 14).

Finally, one may wonder what happens if the chelation does not operate any more in the case of alkoxylated vinyl metals; preliminary studies³ show that the addition can occur diastereoselectively. Thus the reaction of allylzinc bromide with the E reagent 33 leads to 14 anti, with a d.r. of 76/24.

Thus switching from the cis reagent 26 to the trans one 33 delivers the opposite diastereoisomer 14 (scheme 23 and 10).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the allyl zincation of vinyl metals is amenable to proceed highly diastereoselectively from properly hetero substituted partners, at low temperature, and with good yields. It represents a new synthetic approach to construct adjacent chiral centers (up to three so far), and the syn /anti relationship can be modulated from the E or Z nature of the starting vinyl metal.

Studies are in progress to enlarge the scope of this reaction, and to use the bismetallic reagents in reactions with various electrophiles.

Acknowledgements We thank CNRS for its financial support, Roussel Uclaf for a grant to Jean-M. Lefrançois and Mrs Ann Veillet-Lavallée for typing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- M. Gaudemar, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série C, 273, 1669-1672 (1971). Y. Frangin and M. Gaudemar, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sc. Paris, Série C, 278, 885-887 (1974). M. Bellassoued, Y. Frangin and M. Gaudemar, Synthesis 205-208 (1977). 1.
- (a). P. Knochel and J.F. Normant, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 27, 1039-1042 (1986); (b). ibid 27, 1043-1046 (1986); (c). ibid. 27, 4427-4430 (1986); (d). ibid 27, 4431-4434 (1986); (e). ibid. 27, 5727-2. 5730 (1986)
- 3. I. Marek and J.F. Normant, unpublished results.
- D. Abenhaim, Ph. D. Thesis Paris (1971).
- 5. I. Marek, J.M. Lefrançois and J.F. Normant, Synlett (1992) in press.
- (a). M. Schlosser, J. Hartmann and V. David, Helv. Chim. Acta. 57, 1567-1576 (1974).
- (a). M. Schlosser, J. Hartmann and V. David, Fiely, Chim. Acta. 21, 1307-1376 (1974).
 (b). M. Schlosser, Pure Appl. Chem. 60, 1627-1634 (1988).
 (c). M. Schlosser and M. Stähle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 487-489 (1980).
 (d). A. Yanagisawa, S. Habaue and H. Yamamoto, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 113, 5893-5895 (1991).
 For theories on the predominance of Z isomers: (e). K.N. Houk, R.W. Strozier, N.G. Rondan, R.R. Frazer and N. Chuaqui-Offermanns, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 102, 1426-1429 (1980).
 (f). P. von R. Schleyer, J. Kaneti, Y.D. Wu and J. Chandrasekhar, J. Organomet. Chem. 426, 143-1420
 - 157 (1992).
- 7. D.A. Hutchinson, K.R. Beck, R.A. Benkeser and J.B. Grutzner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 7075-7082 (1973).
- 8. (a). C. Agami, M. Andrac-Taussig and Ch. Prevost, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 2596-2600 (1966). (b). R. Benn, E.G. Hoffmann, H. Lehmkuhl and H. Neel, J. Organomet. Chem. 146, 103-112
- R.E. Ireland, P. Wipf and J.N. Xiang, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>56</u>, 3572-3582 (1991).
- 10.
- 11.
- D.A. Evans, G.C. Andrews and B. Buckwalter, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u> <u>96</u>, 5560-5561 (1974). R.E. Ireland and C.S. Wilcox, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> <u>2839-2842 (1977)</u>. H.K. Hoftsee, J. Boersma, J.D. Van der Meulen and G.J.M. Van der Kerk, <u>J. Organomet. Chem.</u> 12. 153, 245-252 (1978).
- 13. I. Marek, J.M. Lefrançois and J.F. Normant, Tetrahedron Lett. 32, 5969-5972 (1991).
- 14.
- A. Alexakis and J.M. Duffault, <u>Tetrahedron Lett. 29</u>, 6243-6246 (1988). (a). S. Ma and X. Lu, <u>J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.</u> 1643-1644 (1990). 15
 - (b). S. Ma and X. Lu, <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. 31, 7653-7656 (1990).
- 16.
- I. Marek, A. Alexakis and J.F. Normant, Tetrahedron Lett. 32, 5329-5332 (1991).
 (a) F. Sato, H. Watanabe, Y. Tanaka and M. Sato, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1126-1127 17. (1982).
- (b). F. Sato, <u>J. Organomet. Chem.</u> 285, 53-64 (1985). (c). F. Sato and Y. Kobayashi, <u>Org. Synth.</u> 69, 106-113 (1990) P. Kocienski, C. Love and D.A. Roberts, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 30, 6753-6756 (1989). 18.
- 19.
- I. Marek and J.F. Normant, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u> 32, 5973-5976 (1991).

 (a). M.J. Kurth and R.L. Beard, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 53, 4085-4088 (1988).

 (b). M.J. Kurth and C.M. Yu, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u> 50, 1840-1845 (1985).

 S.D. Rychnovsky and P.A. Bartlett, <u>J. Amer. Chem.</u> 502. 103, 3963-3964 (1981). 20.
- 21.
- 22. A. Alexakis, M. Gardette and S. Colin, Tetrahedron Lett. 29, 2951-2954 (1988).
- 23. I. Marek, J.M. Lefrançois and J.F. Normant, Tetrahedron Lett. 33, 1767-1748 (1992).
- 24. J.M. Lefrançois, I. Marek and J.F. Normant, unpublished results.